| 1 | Gregory H. Guillot ggmark@radix.net | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Admitted Pro Hac Vice | |
| 3 | GREGORY H. GUILLOT, P.C. 13455 Noel Road, Suite 1000 | |
| 4 | Dallas, TX 75240 Telephone: (972) 774-4560 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (214) 515-0411 | |
| | John L. Krieger, (Nevada Bar No. 6023) | |
| 6 | JKrieger@LRLaw.com LEWIS AND ROCA LLP | |
| 7 | 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169 | |
| 8 | Telephone: (702) 949-8200 | |
| 9 | Facsimile: (702) 949-8389 | |
| 10 | George L. Paul GPaul@LRLaw.com | |
| 11 | Admitted Pro Hac Vice | |
| | Robert H. McKirgan, RMckirgan@LRLaw.com | |
| 12 | Admitted Pro Hac Vice LEWIS AND ROCA LLP | |
| 13 | 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004 | |
| 4 | Telephone: (602) 262-5326 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (602) 734-3857 | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Plaintiff, DONNA CORBELLO | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 9 | DONNA CORBELLO, an individual, | |
| 20 | Plaintiff, | Case No. 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL |
| 21 | vs. | ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL CERTAIN |
| 22 | THOMAS GAETANO DEVITO, an | EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY OBJECTION TO |
| 23 | individual, et al., | MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S APRIL 15, 2011 ORDERS |
| 24 | Defendants. | |
| | | |
| 25 | Plaintiff Donna Corbello, by her attorneys, and pursuant to the Stipulated Protective | |
| 26 | Order (Doc. 94) entered into by the parties, and the Court's Protective Order Governing | |
| 7 | Confidentiality of Documents entered on January 5, 2009 ("Order Regarding Sealing | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

1 2

Requirements") (Doc. 95), herewith requests leave to file certain documents under seal as exhibits to *Plaintiff's Emergency Objection To Magistrate Judge's April 15, 2011 Orders*.

3

4

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

5

7

8

9

10

1112

1314

1516

17

18

1920

21

23

22

24

25

2627

28

Pursuant to her obligations under the Stipulated Protective Order and Order Regarding Sealing Requirements, Plaintiff seeks an order permitting her to file the following documents under seal, which were produced by Defendants Frankie Valli, Robert J. Gaudio, Marshall Brickman, Eric S. Elice, DSHT, Inc., Dodger Theatricals, Inc., and/or JB Viva Vegas, LP (the "New Defendants"), and/or by third party Kevin Kinsella ("Kinsella"), and marked "CONFIDENTIAL" and "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" thereby, which she intends to attach as exhibits to her Emergency Objection To Magistrate Judge's April 15, 2011 Orders:

- KINSELLA-007654 through -007655, consisting pages of a partnership agreement.
- Exhibit 5 is defendant Dodger Theatricals, Inc.'s relevant, written discovery responses.
- KINSELLA-000227 through -000238, consisting of an audited financial statement.
- JB-0086305 through JB-0086316, consisting pages of a Subscription Agreement for Jersey Boys Toronto.
- JB-0085624 through JB-0085628, consisting pages of an Operating Agreement for Jersey Boys Australia Investor, LLC.
- JB-0085523 through JB-0085526, consisting pages of a Limited Partnership Agreement for JB London Investor LP.
- JB-0087053 through JB-0087056, consisting pages of a licensing agreement from defendant Jersey Boys Broadway LP.
- JB-0027040 through JB-0027044, consisting pages of a financial statement for JB Viva Vegas, LP.
- Exhibit 13 consists of an e-mail attaching an expense summary for defendant
 Valli and Gaudio.

3

5

7

9

24

25

26

27

28

- Exhibit 14 consists of a spreadsheet summarizing information from financial statements.
- Exhibit 17 consists of a spreadsheet summarizing information from tax documents.
- JB-0079466 through JB-0079468, consisting of a QuickBooks account for royalty payments.
- JB-0087214 through JB-0087218, consisting of an agreement between various defendants.

I. ARGUMENT

There is an exception to the normal presumption of access to judicial records, for "sealed discovery document[s] [attached] to a non-dispositive motion," such that "the usual presumption of the public's right of access is rebutted." *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179-1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing *Phillips v. General Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002)). The public has less of a need for access to court records attached only to non-dispositive motions because those documents are often "unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action." *Id.* (quoting *Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart*, 467 U.S. 20, 33, 104 S. Ct. 2199, 81 L. Ed. 2d 17 (1984)). Moreover, "public policies that support the right of access to dispositive motions, and related materials, do not apply with equal force to non-dispositive materials." *Id.* (citing *Phillips*, 307 F.3d at 1213). Finally, when a district court grants a protective order to seal documents during discovery, "it already has determined that 'good cause' exists to protect this information from being disclosed to the public by balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality." *Id.* Accordingly, "good cause" exists for the filing of the foregoing documents under seal.

Pursuant to the *Stipulated Protective Order* herein, Plaintiff has an obligation to maintain the confidentiality of any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" by an opposing party, and the documents identified above were so marked by the New Defendants and Kinsella. Accordingly, Plaintiff may not file the documents with the Court without obtaining an Order and/or filing them under seal. Whereas, Plaintiff's *Emergency*

Casse 2008 cv 40008677 FRC U-PAL Document 659 Ffiled 1007 2075 1111 Page 44 of 145

Objection To Magistrate Judge's April 15, 2011 Orders is not a dispositive motion, the filing of 1 these documents under seal falls within the exception to the general presumption of public access 2 carved out by the courts of this Circuit for documents attached to non-dispositive motions. 3 4 Accordingly, leave to file the subject documents under seal should be granted. II. **CONCLUSION** 5 IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that her present motion be 6 7 granted. 8 Dated: May 5, 2011 9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: 10 11 /s/Robert H. McKirgan Gregory H. Guillot 12 George L. Paul John L. Krieger 13 Robert H. McKirgan Attorneys for Plaintiff, Donna Corbello 14 15 16 17 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED: 20 21 22 UNITED ST ES DISTRICT JUDGE DATED:_10-27-2011 23 24 25 26 27 28